EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: Chapter 11

W.R. Grace & Co., et al.,

Debtor(s). Bankruptcy #01-01139 (JKF)

Wilmington, DE September 26, 2005 12:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF OMNIBUS HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDITH K. FITZGERALD
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For The Debtor(s): David M. Bernick, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP

200 E. Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

Michelle H. Browdy, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 200 E. Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

Janet S. Baer, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 200 E. Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

(Via telephone)

Samuel Blatnick, Esq.

Kirkland & Ellis, LLP

200 E. Randolph Drive

Chicago, IL 60601

Michael Dierkes, Esq. (Via telephone)

Kirkland & Ellis, LLP 200 E. Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

129

- 1 insurance carriers. There are lots of them here.
- 2 MR. BERNICK: And I'm surprised to see that after Your
- 3 Honor made that statement they're still here.
- 4 THE COURT: Still here. Mr. Herbert?
- 5 MR. HERBERT: Your Honor, Mark Herbert from Campbell
- 6 Levine on behalf of the PI Committee. If I were -- if I
- 7 remember correctly, and granted I wasn't -- had no idea that
- 8 this issue was gonna be brought up at this hearing, but if I
- 9 remember correctly, your 2019 Order said that if anyone has
- 10 entered their appearance or taken any action in this case they
- 11 are to file a 2019 statement, assuming they represent more than
- 12 one Creditor; which just as a side note, it seems to be an
- 13 issue for the carriers as well. But that aside, your order
- 14 also said that if they're going to take any action there were a
- 15 certain time period in there for them to comply before, for
- 16 example, voting via master ballot and so forth and so on.
- 17 There was no obligation for them to do that. My understanding
- 18 is a lot firms have gone ahead and done that anyway, but the
- 19 question was presented to us after your 2019 order was entered,
- 20 people were calling us saying, "Do I have to file this? -- you
- 21 know, "Does Judge Fitzgerald have jurisdiction to order for me
- 22 to file this?" So forth and so on. We typically recommended
- 23 that even though they did not have to comply, that they should.
- 24 A lot of 'em have filed. And in fact, on the 2019 issue with
- 25 insurers just now Kaza & McClain filed, Cooney & Conway filed,

130

- 1 Peter Angelos' office filed. It's a lot of firms that filed
- 2 just since late July. And in fact, most of those firms were
- 3 supplemental, so I'm not really sure where Mr. Bernick's 20 --
- 4 80% number comes from, but we're creeping back to an asbestos
- 5 personal injury bar date again, which is -- has just been
- 6 inherent in this process ever since the middle of July, ever
- 7 since the questionnaire when they wanted -- if you didn't file
- 8 a claim, your claim was going to be expunged, which Your Honor
- 9 ruled was not appropriate and had to be taken out.
- 10 MR. BERNICK: To make it clear -- and I -- and that's
- 11 a fair point because I wasn't being clear. Number one, while a
- 12 lot firms have filed their 2019 statements, the firms with
- 13 large numbers of claims have not, and that's how -- why we get
- 14 to the 80%. Second is this problem can be cured by expanding
- 15 the scope of the 2019 order. I suppose that's one way to go,
- 16 but we really had a simpler way of proceeding. We're not
- 17 seeking -- because we understood what Your Honor's direction
- 18 was, we are not seeking to bar claims because people don't fill
- 19 out their questionnaires. What we are seeking to know is who
- 20 is going to be a claimant, and for purposes of making that
- 21 clear we would be seeking a bar date and they could satisfy
- 22 their obligation under the bar date either by submitting the
- 23 questionnaire or by simply submitting the Form 10, which a
- 24 simple piece of paper that says I got a claim. So we're not
- 25 seeking to increase the penalty or impose any kind of penalty

131

- 1 for failing to submit a questionnaire. We are seeking to make
- 2 sure that if somebody's going to elect to be a claimant in this
- 3 case, particularly those people who already elected to be
- 4 claimants as of the time the case was filed, that they do it
- 5 now.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, look, it -- you're going to have to
- 7 file a motion.
- 8 MR. BERNICK: We will.
- 9 THE COURT: I don't know what else to say. Just file
- 10 a motion and put it on the calendar because I think I did say
- 11 that the 2019 statement would be due before they could file
- 12 master ballots. If they try to file master ballots and haven't
- 13 filed a 2019 statement they'll be disallowed and their clients
- 14 will not participate in any distribution out of the estate
- 15 because they will not have filed a ballot or a claim. I mean,
- 16 that's the way it's going to be. So --
- 17 MR. HERBERT: Your Honor, our office continues to work
- 18 with people who are filing 2019 statements -- amended 2019
- 19 statements, supplemental, whatever you want. But I'd just like
- 20 to point out in response to what Mr. Bernick just said, the
- 21 purpose of 2019, as Your Honor stated earlier in this hearing,
- 22 relates to counsel coming forward and representing multiple
- 23 carriers. It's not to establish any sort of information for
- 24 his estimation.
- MR. BERNICK: I'm not asking for more 2019's. We'll